

## Robert Naeslund: The Human Brain Project

The history of Cybernetics is the history of the human brain project. It was developed as a collaboration between the Military Research Agency and major hospitals in the world. Other terms are man-machine-interaction and bio-telemetry.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ei3zla5hS9o>

Cartoon Man: But tell me what the lecture is about.

Cartoon Woman: The modern Dr. Frankenstein! Brain chips, medical abuse, so called mind control and behavior manipulation!

From a lecture in Stockholm/Sweden, by Robert Naeslund, 2011 about the technology of cybernetics, introduced by the U.S. professor Norbert Wiener 1948 - also called for brain-computer-interaction - mind control or man-machine interference.

From the 1940s electrodes were implanted in the brains of unwitting hospital patients during surgery. In the 1960s mini-transmitters that could easily be implanted were developed and nowadays brain chips are injected at hospitals internationally.

Welcome to an evening about brain control. This subject that has received too little attention and that has often been related to conspiracy theories and even science fiction. But that in reality has been a state project during the last 60 years, developed behind the so-called "walls of power" the secrecy of military research.

My name is Robert Naeslund and I am going to give an explanation of the subject that the American senator John Glenn had as his main activity during the last 3 years as a politician and that he, in his last speech in the Senate in 1997 described as one of the most important questions of our times. The technology that

uses remote control to directly connect to the human brain emerged as super computers were developed in the 1940s.

From the beginning this technology used implants in the human brain -then electrodes, two-way communication systems where the emitted frequencies could be programmed with data for influence while the returning signal sent biological information that the super computer could compile and analyze.

The very first articles about this technology were published in the New York Times in December 1948 when the American professor Norbert Wiener published the book "Cybernetics" coining at the same time the "cyber" concept.

It can be interesting to know where this work came from. The technology came to be known as bio-medical telemetry. That is the medical name for it. Telemetry means measuring at a distance. Other terms were bio-cybernetics, brain-computer-technology or man-system-interaction, which is the Swedish State's name for this technology.

The first experiments—and this is something that will challenge our understanding about medical ethics in particular but also doctor's functions—but there is very strong documentation about this.

The first experiments with this technology in Sweden that we can document took place at Karolinska Hospital in Stockholm in 1946. Electrodes were implanted in people's brains—on the same premises as today—without people's knowledge, and these people were thereafter used in medical experimentation with the new technology.

Already in the 1950s—half a century ago this extensive research program was organized behind the Defense Research that was at that time called FOA, and that functioned as knowledge bank, innovator, made evaluations, trained doctors, and professors to develop the project.

The Military Research has by now changed its name to FOI and states in its annual report of 2011 that their goal is to control man's cognitive functions during the

individual's whole lifetime. Cognition is about thought and reason.

All facts which are presented about Sweden are as well valid for the U.S. or any European nation. This technological violent project goes on globally and Senator John Glenn, Congressman Kucinich, the New York Times and many professors have all protested against the rape of the brain.

It's with the super computers that they were able to develop the big brain project that this lecture will be about. Two years later, 1953, April 3<sup>rd</sup>, the New York Times had an article with the title "*Scientists Use Electrodes in the Brain*" and from it we can understand that already at that time, 1953, one could transfer both memory, thoughts, and sensory functions by remote control.

I'll quote a few lines from it: "Patient's brains are *wired for sound* with hair-like electrodes in a new technique reported at the Mayo Clinic today. The scientists said that through the depth recording and electrical stimulation methods, new fields of investigation had

been opened into basic function of the human brain, like memory, thought, action, sight and smell." And this was an article published April 3<sup>rd</sup>, 1953, but still today, this is nothing that anyone talks about.

Well, at least not in the mass media or the popular science magazines. Professor John Lilly mentioned in his memoirs the book with the title *The Scientist* published in 1978 that he 1953—again, this is completely mind blowing because it happened such a long time ago—58 years ago—he was called to a meeting with the director for the US Mental Health Institute that asked him to have his research with dolphins and chimps—with electrodes in their brains—behind the CIA's secrecy. But he refused. And the answer that he gave to the General Director was also published in his memoirs telling us very much about how this type of technology was developed and how far the development had already gone by 1953.

"Dr. Remond that uses our technology in Paris shows that this method of stimulation of the brain, can be applied to humans without the help of a neurosurgeon.

He does it at his clinic. This means that anyone with the right tools can do this to a person, in secret, without any sign that electrodes have been used on the person.

If this technology comes into the hands of a secret agency, they can have a total control over a person and change his beliefs extremely quickly." That's how far this technology was developed already in 1953. CIA had begun its collaboration with surgeons abroad, in this case Paris, who implanted their electrodes imperceptibly, secretly in patients' brains.

The magazine *Science*, November 30, 1956 their first extensive article regarding the brain subject under the heading "Some Issues Concerning the Control of Human Behavior". Professor Carl R Rogers called the people under these conditions the slaves of those who directed their behavior.

Professor Carl Rogers, *Science*, November 30, 1956: "I believe the prediction and control of behavior may be

misused. It also means that the great majority are only the slaves. We can choose to use our knowledge to enslave humans in ways we never dreamed of, in such a way that they never become aware of the loss of their personalities."

And this was something that was published in the magazine *Science*. He also added: "In such a case, we can only look back on the concept of human freedom, and the right to choose, as being an historical curiosity that once existed, as a cultural accident, as a set of values in a pre-technological civilization."

Yes, these are indeed scary words. They represent something that is in fact much more detestable than what old traditional dictatorships represent and that the project was developed because of government decisions. Well, it's a horror story.

This is something that the Swedish Defense researcher and scientist P.M. Persson talked about in an article in the FOA-magazine 1965 with the title "Bio-Telemetry". He wrote: "The word telemetry comes from the Greek *tele* meaning far, and *metri*

meaning measure. In Swedish telemetry would be called *measuring at a distance*. Telemetry can be described as the science of the transmission of data that is normally not available. The oldest and most widely used radio system is the FM-FM system. It was standardized as early as 1950 in the U.S.A. The substantial portion of biotelemetry comprises data transmission. This is mainly done by using implanted transmitters. The technology has evolved very far in Swedish medical research."

What in fact was developed far back in the medical research was the abuse of patients, in whose brains or heads, transmitters had been implanted. And this was something that, at that time, was done largely during surgeries, when patients were anesthetized.

Dr. Jose Delgado, mentioned earlier in the New York Times article published in 1967, a research report *Man's Intervention in Intracerebral Functions*. He wrote that electrodes were implanted in patients in all major hospitals around the world. I'll quote a few words from the research report. "Implanted

electrodes in humans are now used in all large hospitals. The presence of electrodes in the brain is not harmful, or even unpleasant and patients live a completely normal life in their homes.

From the experimental point of view, behavioral research requires individual freedom. In consequence of this trend, we have begun to affect the brain's psychological reactions and scientific studies have concluded that we can experiment with intracerebral functions responsible for developing and maintaining specific behaviors and mental functions."

Well, this was nothing that the patients themselves—as they were called—had the slightest idea about; that they had been implanted and that they were used in research. In experiments of their brain functions for a lifetime connected to the systems.

With this technology, one of the most prioritized research area emerged that had to do with changing people's perceptions, ideas and, in general, behavior. To understand about politician's attitudes regarding this matter, I am going to quote a Swedish government

report from 1972. The Prime Minister Olof Palme appointed Alva Myrdal as chairman of the state commission "*Choosing the Future*". The predicted future wasn't what anyone would accept. From the following few words that I am going to quote, one can better understand politician's tactical games in which human rights and all international conventions that Sweden had signed to protect their citizens, were completely mashed.

Alva Myrdal wrote: "Research in the field of brain function and behavior is primarily aimed at identifying the nature and extent of those changes that can be achieved with the different methods. Discussions about the various methods to influence people, are leading to the question of the individual's ability to protect oneself from undesirable influence, and the invasion of privacy.

Undoubtedly, the protection of the individual against the abuse of these and similar methods in today's society is inadequate." In fact, the government did not want to give us--the people--protection against the

technological intrusions into our brains. This was 40 years ago. They gave their sympathies to power instead of taking people's side and felt solidarity with some small but powerful groups such as the military, the professors and the researchers. In particular, these three groups. All of them wanted to develop it against humanity. And the whole population.

About the same time, the American professor of astronomy, Carl Sagan, published his book, "*The Dragons of Eden*". In that book he discussed this technology, and he wrote that people, who accept electrodes in their brains, have lost any chance of freedom. I quote from it: "When it comes to technological nightmares, it is important to realize the possibility that people can understand it and prevent government abuse.

The people that allow the government to implant electrodes in their brains, have lost the battle of freedom." A way of understanding it—at least the beginning of the lost freedom—is to realize that not a single article has come out in the mass media that has

been able to create a debate about this technology and its emerging role as a political factor.

### The New York Times

The New York Times has been the best newspaper in the world to challenge the U.S. project of mind control. During the years 1967 to 1977 they published three editorials and demanded a public debate. "*Push Button People*" 1967—"Brain Wave" 1970—and the last: "*Control CIA, Not Behavior*" August 5, 1977.

But there has been one newspaper, one, a single newspaper in the world that has been better than any other. It has challenged the greatest power on earth in this matter and it is the New York Times. Already from the start in the 1950s, they brought up research reports and referred to the possibility to—for the first time in human history—being able to neutralize—not only mental diseases—but even physical ones. They had written many articles about this development in the 1950s. In the 1960s they began stating that the

technology was being misused. And they also published many leading figure's warnings about the social danger of a society under brain—mind—control. During the 1970s the danger had become even greater. And more and more articles were written about the CIA's development of brain systems. During a single summer in 1977, the New York Times published 30 articles about the CIA's brain control systems. The most important thing that was brought into light was that this project already had begun in the late 1940s, and that they had built with this technology, a state within the state. In 1967, the New York Times had its first editorial, the first of the three following over the next 10 years. This was published April 10, 1967 under the title "*Push Button People*". And they discussed the ongoing experiments and warned about the development of this technology, and it was stated that it has to come up to a more general debate and to everyone's attention. They also mentioned that probably there were countries in the world that already had planned to subjugate their populations using this technique.

I'll quote a few words from the New York Times editorial. "It is the possibility of similar control over human beings that causes concern. Several years ago, a scientist told a scientific meeting that experiments with patients seems to support the distasteful conclusion that motion, emotion and behavior can be directed by electrical forces and that humans can be controlled like robots by push buttons. It is indeed a 'distasteful conclusion' but it is quite conceivable that in some countries investigations may be under way into the possibility of using these techniques to control human beings. But the mere existence of such a possibility is disturbing, and certainly merits wider public discussion and greater attention than it has received up to now." Certainly this was the way it was. Both the U.S. and Sweden were countries that had far reaching plans to subjugate citizens beyond their knowledge in brain control systems. 3:

This is an issue where movies give more accurate information, compared to professors or documentaries.

Here are some pictures from movies regarding what has gone on for half a century.

(23:53) *The Manchurian Candidate* "Somebody got into our heads with big steal-toe boots."

(24:03) "Implants—that's what I said."

(24:11) *The Japanese Movie--Paprika* "It was an invention that never should have been created."

(24:20) "You should know better than anyone what can happen if it's misused."

(24:30) *Control Factor*—"It's psychotronic brain entrainment technology."

(24:38) "But if you don't do something you won't be able to think for yourself."

(24:46) "We'll show these sons of bitches that the guinea pigs can bite back."

Some other movies regarding the brain subject: *Total Recall*—*The Lawnmower Man*—*Johnny Mnemonic*—*The*

Cell—The Island—Metropia—Extreme Measures—X-Man.

There are two versions of The Manchurian Candidate— from the 1960s with Frank Sinatra—and from the 1990s with Meryl Streep and Sydney Poitier.

By this time, surgeons had under two decades committed their abuses on patients. And this technology during the whole time been developed behind the Swedish Defense Research Institute (FOA). The experiments have during the whole development been very brutal. A conference was held in 1986, March 13<sup>th</sup>, about this issue at the Swedish Department of Justice.

Swedish Justice Minister Sten Wickbom had invited some professors, researchers and a few politicians. At the meeting it was mentioned that this mustn't reach the mass media. One professor, Bengt Pernow, said that researchers from all over the world are coming to Sweden, to experiment on humans, as they don't have

the right to do that in their own countries. Because we here in Sweden, have less protection for our Human Rights than other nations. And this was mentioned in clear words at that conference.

Brains can be stolen and raped! The authorities do it!

We tend to believe that we in Sweden live in the best of all democracies. That's how it usually sounds in the mass media anyway. But if you only scratch a little bit on the surface you discover something very different.

To return to the New York Times, they published their second editorial September 19<sup>th</sup>, 1970 under the title *Brainwave*. It indicated that Orwell's vision of the future had already expired. Something new was around the corner, something new and much worse. Every newborn baby—its first experience in this world—would be to be implanted with a neuro-transmitter and for their entire lifetime have emotion and cognition controlled by the state computers.

Some lines from the New York Times editorial August 5, 1977.

## CONTROL C.I.A. NOT BEHAVIOR

So we must add repugnant medical experimentation to the list of horror stories emerging from the C.I.A. It was no secret that the agency once hunted desperately for means to control human behavior. One objective was to program individuals so that they might do the agencies bidding even to the point of ignoring such fundamental laws of nature as self-preservation. We are not sufficiently schooled in ethics to know how this differs from murder. There is no substitute for also holding their senior officials ethically and legally responsible as individuals for all activities. Even at this late date, the country should be told who sanctioned such projects, and how.

These are good words coming from the New York Times. Now 35 years later, we have every reason to make the same demands in Sweden. What happened under 60 years of brain experimentation? Which doctors, professors, what institutions have been involved in the most anti-democratic project that ever

existed? By what kind of methods has it been possible to keep it off the media and people's attention? What defenses have been set up? What is the role of the medical corporations? They are involved. What does the government say? FOI'S super computers must be turned off! We are all about to become the State's lab rats and chip manipulated behavior skulls, and that's verified by FOI itself.

### U.S. Killed 33,000 Civilian People

With Train tech in South Vietnam in 1970

That was disclosed by the British Professor Malcolm Varnar in his title "*The Data Bank Society*" 1970. By mixing microchips in both medicines, alcohol and probably Coca-Cola they could scan a large part of the South Vietnamese over and middle-class. The super computer revealed 33,000 people as important Viet Cong sympathizers—and planned to kill them by the same technology by which they were disclosed. By changing their biological functions that they died . . .

To give you an idea about the Pentagon's use of brain control technology I will quote something which, just as everything else no one has ever heard of even though it should have been on the front pages of the mass media. A few people with insight and courage dared to reveal the most secret, the military's use of brain technology for mass murder. Two British scientists, Professor Malcolm Varnar and Dr. Michael Stone, published 1970 the book "*The Data Bank Society*", which is a strong protest against the government's power over people's minds. At that time, the U.S. had its war in Vietnam and they had advanced methods for implanting people. By mixing up microchips in probably both medicine and alcohol one could within a few years scan a large part of South Vietnam's upper-class and middle-class. The super computers could thereafter analyze and evaluate people, assess their opinions and see what they are up to. To find that there were 33,000 very important people that were Viet-Cong sympathizers and that they planned to execute. And it could be done through the same technology through which their sympathies were assessed, through the connection to their brains—their

biological functions could be changed to a state that was lethal. By creating heart attacks, strokes, cancer or whatever they liked.

The following brief quotation from Professor Malcolm Varnar says a lot about that: "Hopelessly thwarted in its attempts to improve information input, the U.S. Command in Saigon has devoted its most skilled resources to rendering its information processing ultra-sophisticated. It has invented what is, in effect, a computerized 'extermination machine' . . . . The High Command in Saigon is now extending the idea of computer selections to individuals as well as to suspected areas. This year, 1970, the program aims to eliminate 33,000 individual Vietnamese citizens who—it has been determined by the computer—are Viet Cong sympathizers."

This quote also says a lot about being able to—through the computer brain interaction—manipulate someone's biological functions—even to the point where they die. Of one reason or the other that can't be differentiated from normal death causes. The same

sources are also in FOI's super computer systems and it is also used to keep the brain systems away from the mass media and people's knowledge.

A Swedish General Director, Stina Wahlstrom, wrote in 1989 in the Data Inspections Annual Book that as long as this continues Sweden cannot be called a democracy. She wrote about people exploited without their knowledge or against their will. Of course, these are strong words that we are not living in a democracy, but a project like this cannot exist in a democracy.

However, one of the best protests and revelations from this society's own authorities was actually stated a few years ago. March 16<sup>th</sup>, 2005, the European Commission's Ethical Council with the Swedish professor Goran Hermeren as chairman published the document *Ethical Aspects of ICT Implants in the Human Body* to the EU Commission as a recommendation on how one should regard this technology's future development. It is without doubt challenging the system and it is the best of all other explanations and the biggest disclosure made about

this technology. Their 30-page document explains that implants are injected into people for research and social control purposes.

ICT stands for Information-Communication-Technology and includes everything from electrodes to biochips.

The quotes from their document say everything regarding how this technology nowadays is used, not only in Sweden, but all Europe, within the European Union. They are talking about injecting people, unwittingly, with chips. Well, this has in fact been going on for 60 years now, but good they mention it.

I quote from their document: "Brain-computer interface or direct brain control: the technologies involved above are communications technologies. They take information from the brain and externalize it. These are internalizing technologies whose purpose is to take information from the outside and provide individual access to it." They did not talk about any particular individuals but all of us. It was declared that it belonged to a transformation of the human being.

Quote: "Our present society is confronted with the changes that have to do with the anthropological essence of what man's inner nature is." They did not mention some specific people or population groups, but in fact all of us. This is a planned population project for the manipulation of our innermost nature. Our right to think for ourselves. They explained that it was a threat to the democratic society and, of course, it is so. Not even a Hitler with his old methods could be a more terrifying threat in today's societies when you compare them with the technologies intrusion into our brains.

They wrote: "EGE makes the evaluation that non-medical applications of implants are a potential threat to human dignity and the democratic society. The use of the remote control to take over people's will must be absolutely prohibited." It is of course not less critical to go into someone's brain and change a human being's thoughts and will—than it was under Hitler's and Stalin's times—forcing people behind barbed wire in

order to neutralize their thoughts and will power. It says a lot about our political governance of course.

In addition, you should be aware that many of those who sit in the parliament also have their brains incorporated into the defense departments' super computer control systems. Of course, they become a type of puppet for the will of others.

Down here is the Information; what frequencies go into the brain of Americans when they have been implanted in hospitals. The table was published in a research report by Professor Stuart Mackay, "Telemetry is Coming of Age" 1983.

Biomedical Frequency Allocation in the United States  
For Research and Patient Monitoring

Frequency MHz	Bandwidth KHz	Field Strength V/m	Out of Band Xmitter Reqs
38-41	200	10 at 15 m.	10
		uv/M at 3 m.	

88-108                      200                      50 at 15 m.                      40  
uv/M at 3 m.

174-216                      200                      150 at 30 m.                      15 uv/M at  
30 m.

Next quote is about health and our social life that has largely degenerated since the brain technology had its impact for a couple of decades ago. Now a neuro professor, Anders Lasner, at Stockholm Brain Institute states that approximately 30% of the people in the EU suffer from brain diseases or behavioral disorders.

(42:41) Photo graphic of a Campbell's soup can labeled Brain Stew with State Chips.

Too much of Brain Stew in the world. That is the reason for madness, diseases and degeneration. The EU Commission Ethical Board called it a change in humanity's anthropological being.

It increases and it is directly related to this technology. We all have of course been confronted with the tragedy that people seem to become stranger and stranger.

The EU-ethical group provided us with an explanation of the reasons: "It should be stressed that there are no reliable scientific investigations concerning the long-term health aspect of implants in the human body. The unrestricted freedom of some may endanger the health and safety for others. As in other areas, the freedom to use implants in one's own body, i.e., the principle of freedom itself might collide with potential negative social effects."

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSIONS ETHICAL BOARD  
WROTE:

Brain-computer-interface (BCI) or direct brain control are communication technologies: they take information from the brain and they externalize it. Contemporary society is confronted with changes that have to do with

the anthropological essence of individuals . . . To what extent might this technology be misused by the military? . . . . The Member States and their ethics councils have a responsibility to create conditions for education and constructive, well-informed debates in this area.

Under the section: *Human Dignity, Integrity and Autonomy* the EGE-Group brought up a number of questions, not because they wondered about the answers, but probably because they were best presented as questions. “How far can such implants be a threat to human autonomy, particularly when they are implanted in our brains?” “How far can such implants have irreversible impacts in the human body and/or in the human psyche?” “How will they influence memory?” “Does a human being cease to be such a being in cases where some parts of his or her body—particularly the brain—are substituted and/or supplemented by ICT implants?”

How far can ICT implants give an individual, or a group, specific capabilities that could become a threat to society? How far should we be subject to the control of such devices or by other people using these devices?"

From this quote, when the EU Commission's Ethical Board talks about these implants in our own brains, we can understand the extensive use of this project. It has, in fact, throughout the history of mankind it has never existed anything more totalitarian. There has never been anything more anti-democratic. A dictatorship can never be greater than when citizens' brains are linked to computer control.

The state has become a cannibal, a rapist who is eating its citizens. Transforming us into biological manipulated components. But the EU Commission did not support their recommendation on the need to stop the ongoing trend of mind control. They instead accepted the continuation of this project, and, of course, that day when techno-political development will

create debates in the mass media, it will have serious consequences.

(48:00) GOD SAVE THE BRAIN (X-ray of a brain)

They ended the document, by urging that this development must become general knowledge.

Quote: "The Member States and their national ethics councils have a responsibility to create conditions for education and constructive, well-informed debates in this area." Of course it is good if everybody joins this challenge. But these councils have now had 6 years to their inability—no debate has arisen. Not even a single word has been said yet. But this is, of course, also everyone's responsibility. All of us must participate to ensure that this issue reaches the mass media. This is one of humanity's crossroads. One can almost say that nothing ever happened in the history of mankind. That this is the very first time that something is occurring. In other words, before this, we've always been our own

biological creations. Sure, we have been indoctrinated to believe or not in certain things, but we have nevertheless always had our own biology, mentality and our own resources intact.

This is an issue, almost completely unknown, but at the same time, without doubt, humanity's most important. And that was exactly what Senator John Glenn said in his speech in 1997 when he left the Senate.

The Swedish Defense and Research Institution wrote in its annual report 2011: FOI develops systems with emphasis on the interaction between people and technology. The goal is that systems are designed at human cognitive potential, i.e., the ability to perceive, understand, and sorting information, can be utilized for maximum system effect during people's lifetime . . . .

Everybody must, of course, join this cause!!! The media has, of course, a responsibility to address this issue! Of course, all human rights organizations . . .

Greenpeace, Attack, Amnesty . . . And, of course, all political parties that see people's well-being as above state power must participate in order to bring this subject up for debate, and by that, making change possible.

I will end these dark visions with some positive thoughts about this technology. The man who coined the term Information Society, the Japanese Professor Yoneji Masuda published his book "*The Information Society*" in 1980. In that book he brought up the dark and the light applications of this technology and he wrote: "If information systems were to be completely dominated by despotic state organization, the information society would be the ultimate controlled society, in which the abuse would by far exceed the abuse of human rights under dictatorships but if the people took over the systems, we could live free, healthy, active, creative lives up to an average of 90 or more. This technology has as many positive as negative applications.

Thank you.

To live with freedom or with brain chips. That's the questions.

For anyone who would like to contact me:

[braintexts@hotmail.com](mailto:braintexts@hotmail.com)

Robert Naeslund